

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/585,061	06/01/2000	Samuel M.D. Norville	9105-3/JMD	5737
7	590 09/09/2002			
James M Durlacher Woodard Emhardt Naughton Moriarty and McNett Bank One Center/Tower Suite 3700 111 Monument Circle Indianapolis, IN 46204-5137			EXAMINER	
			LIN, KUANG Y	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	1,1
		•	DATE MAILED: 09/09/2002	19

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		7
Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	
09/585,061	NORVILLE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kuang Y. Lin	1725	

--Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Advisory Action

THE REPLY FILED 27 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

condit	ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a] or b]]
a) [2	The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) [The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have be 37 CFR (b) abov	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in re, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.🛛	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19, 24-26, 31-38</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.[The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.[]	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.	Other: KUANG Y. LIN
	EXAMINER
	GROUP 820

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the amendments to last few lines of each of claims 1, 24, 31, 35, 37 and 38 raise a new issue...

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claimed invention is deemed to be unpatentable over the cited prior art references for the same reasons as set forth in the last office action. Applicants stated in page 7 of the response that none of the prior art reference shows the claimed time interval ranges. However, the time interval ranges depend on several process parameters, such as alloy system, solid fraction, the preheating temperature of the injection sleeve as well as mold cavity, etc. Therefore, it would have been obvious to obtain the specific time interval ranges through routine experimentation. Further, in page 8 of the response, applicants stated that none of the prior art discloses to discharge the slurry billet "directly and immediately". However, the scope of the claims under final rejection does not include that feature.